Approved For Release 2002/06/24 : CIA-RDP92-00455R000300070012-3
Intelligence Community Staff

15 SEP 1976

Honorable George Mahon, Chairman Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Honorable John L. McClellan, Chairman Committee on Appropriations United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairmen:

The Conference Report on the Defense Appropriations bill for fiscal year 1977 expresses the desire of the Congress that there be complete financial and policy independence on the part of the Intelligence Community Staff and the National Foreign Intelligence Board. As you know, it is my intention that the IC Staff and the NFIB be objective and independent in their actions and support to the Director of Central Intelligence. However, I have some difficulty in interpreting certain portions of the language relating to Title VIII of the bill. The Report provides that while certain administrative services can be obtained from other sources (agencies and departments), such administrative services must be funded from the appropriation for Intelligence Community oversight in Title VIII of the bill. The Report also states that there is to be no augmentation of the appropriation except by supplemental appropriation.

A literal interpretation of the language of this section of the Report would preclude the Intelligence Community Staff from utilizing available Government services without paying for that support. For instance, if Intelligence Community Staff members ride one of the

Government shuttle busses, the IC Staff would be obliged to reimburse the department or agency concerned for the provision of that service. If computers of a governmental organization are utilized to resolve an Intelligence Community problem, or administrative routine, the IC Staff would be obliged to pay for that service, insignificant as it may be. Further, whenever the National Foreign Intelligence Board meets, or whenever the many DCI Committee members who support the NFIB engage in a Community meeting, the IC Staff would be required to reimburse the Community organizations for the services of those persons and for the cost of their travel to attend those meetings.

While I believe such a literal interpretation was not the intent of your Committee, nor the will of Congress, I do believe it was the intent of the Committees that the Intelligence Community Staff have sufficient resources that it would not be influenced or controlled by the organizations that comprise the Intelligence Community. You have my assurance that no such influence or control now exists, or ever will, as long as I am Director of Central Intelligence.

In practical terms, it would be an administrative nightmare for the IC Staff to keep time and attendance records of the close to one thousand representatives from the various Community organizations who attend meetings periodically in behalf of the Community mission and function. In fact, a most elaborate and costly accounting mechanism as well as a large administrative staff would have to be developed to permit accounting for the wide variety of administrative services and support now rendered by governmental organizations to the members of the IC Staff, the National Foreign Intelligence Board, and the DCI committees, free of charge. To do so would be virtually an impossible job, would impede the functions of the IC Staff and the National Foreign Intelligence Board and would be contrary to the principles of efficiency and economy of operations which I believe we all support.

I assumed it was the intent of the Committees that those activities of the IC Staff which can be readily identified and the salaries of personnel assigned full time to the IC Staff be funded from the IC Staff appropriation. However, representatives from the various Intelligence Community

Approved For Release 2002/06/24: CIA-RDP92-00455R000300070012-3
organizations who sit on or support the NFIB or the DCI committees
would receive their salaries from their parent organizations in
accordance with normal Government practice. Routine and ad hoc
administrative services which would not prompt a policy influence or
control upon the IC Staff could be received without reimbursement
to the organization providing those services.

Further, the IC Staff could call upon Community organizations as well as the Government at large to provide expertise and technical assistance to various ad hoc study efforts or task forces that might be formed to carry out a Community mission without obligation to reimburse the contributing organizations.

You have my commitment that the guiding principle to the IC Staff and the NFIB is that total objectivity is to be the hallmark of all intelligence reports, estimates and actions.

I trust that you agree with the above principles. If so, I would appreciate your confirmation of this interpretation of the report. I will shortly submit to you a supplemental budget request for additional funds to the in the Fiscal Year 1977 appropriation to pay for the additional number of personnel and related expenses of the IC Staff as I now envision them.

Sincerely,	
George Dusir	
Director	

.STATINȚ

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

1 - DCI -

1 - DDCI

1 - D/DCI/IC

1 - AD/DCI/IC

1 - ER

1 - OLC

AD/DCI/IC:OLC/John McMaho3/George Cary (14 Sept 76)

MCCLETIAN Mr. President r yield to the distinct proved Ton Release 10021061210012

THO PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Hon rxcs). The Senetor from North Dakofa is recognized.

Mr. XOUNG. Mr. President, the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Appropriations (Mr. McClellan)/has; the activities of the intelligence commuprovided a complete and detailed report nity staff and of the National Foreign on the conference for the fiscal year 1977. Intelligence Board Way it the intent of defense appropriations bill. I shall just the committee that the appropriation. highlight a few points concerning the cited in title VII cover the salaries and actions of the conferees. .

As the charman has indicated, this \$10± billion-bill is \$3.5 billion below the - tion is earmarked for the salaries and rebudget request for fiscal year/1977 and: \$11.9 billion over the funding provided for fiscal year 1978. The conferess agreed to a \$1.1 billion reduction below the House bill and \$29 million above the  $arepsilon: oldsymbol{arphi} \mathcal{I}$ Sznate bill:

Air President the conferent worked dilizently in arriving at the funding level. of \$104 billion for fiscal year 1977. Thera. were 114 amendments considered by the conferees and these amendments revidual items. Agreement/on this number of items took several days of long and · 1/20 3. 15 = 2. 2021 erduous effort.....

Mir. President, this conference report provides the funding necessary to stop the downward trend of our defense capa bilities. In particular, it provides a ship building program that will start an upward trend for our naval forces. It will also provide the aircraft procurement necessary to support our tretical fighter and attack requirements for the next few بية إلى المالية

The conferees agreed to an \$87 millionper month obligation, until February 1, 1977, for the B-1 bomber. This will perlevel of effort for the production of the B-1 and yet restrict the use of most of the funds appropriated for the B-1 until the next administration, Republican or Democrat, has the opportunity to review the programm

Some prominent people in and out of Congress, and even a few seeking high. public office, claim there still is \$5 to \$7 billion of 13t in this bill Few have indicated when such cuts could be made and when they did it was usually at the sacrifice of some of our most important wespons systems.

I am sure some of those proposing these cuts may take a different viewpoint now since the Russian pilot flew Russia's most modern fighter, the Foxbat, to Japan. The press stories are correct when: they say that the Poxbat can fly higher and faster than any fighter we have. We believe though that the P-15 fighter now going into production is a better overall. fighter than the Foxbat Most of our military authorities believe, too, that the P-14 fighters, if we put a bigger engine : on it along with its Phoenix missile. would be a better fighter than the Rus sian Foxbat.

best compromises possible of the differences between the House and Senate that the intelligence community staffbilla. Ubellevo it provides sufficient funds to maintain our defense, and I urge all my colleagues to support it

Tyleld to the Senstor from Kentucky

wish to-engage in a colloquy with the distinguished Senator from Arkansas.

The conference committee report speaks of a separate appropriation to increase the independence and stature of activities of the NFIB?

-Mr. McCLELLAN. No. The approprialated activities of the intolligence community staff itself. The committee recog-. nized that the National Foreign Intelligence Ecard and the various DCI committees are composed of representatives from other organizations of the intelligence community who pay the salaries of felligence- community-size, would their respective representatives:

Mr. HUDDLESTON. The conference committee report states:

Any such administrative services rouse lie funded from the Intelligence Community oversight appropriation through transfers of other appropriate devices...

Does this mean that the intelligence community staff may not receive assistance either in ad hoc personal services or incidental assistance, either personal or otherwise, from other Government organizations without paying for these services?

Mr. McCLELLAN. No. 15-W25 Techs nized that ad hoc task force, special committees, and study groups would be formed by the intelligence community staff with representatives from other community organizations with those organizations bearing the cost of the personal-services. It was further recognized that incidental services such as classified trash collection, shuttle bus service, and assistance provided by community organizations as a "service of common concern" could be made available to the IC staff without reimbursement However, salaries of the IC staff personnel, specific computer support rendered to the IC' staff and those services which can be readily identified without an elaborate accounting mechanism should be funded through the intelligence community staff appropriation. What we have in mind is to insure that the IC staff has sufficient independent resources to stand on its own two feet. This objective can be realized without going to extremes such as requiring reimbursement for each and every item or service rendered where it is clearly reasonable and most efficient that the staff avail itself of services readily available elsewhere.

.Mr. HUDDLESTON, Does the term in the conference committee report, "there is to be no augmentation of this appropriation except by supplemental appropriations' mean that the intelligence. community staff may not receive incidental support and personal services This conference report provides the from other community organizations?

> . Mr. McCLELLAN. No; but it does meanmay not receive funds from other organizations to supplement the appropriation.

Mr. HUDDLESTON: Does the term, ciasppropriate to depend services" mean that the DCI and the intelligence community staff could avail themselves of support and ance on policy issues regarding the inte ligence community vironfrom the Office of the DCI? Mr. McCLELLAN. No. The int

to insure that policy support would come from the CIA but would be loves under director: Bush's responsibilit head-or the intelligence communit

Mr. BUDDLESTON. Does the te "intelligence community oversight" mean that the intelligence community stat specific responsibility for insuring propriety of the activities conducted the intelligence .. community Torganizations?

Mr. McCletlan No. While fainly call attention to any activ thought was wrong; the responsibilities for including propriety of action restricts the respective organizations of the intel-

MILHUDDLESTON Libank the ADDITIONAL STATEMENT

ir. TAPT. Mr. President, I am going to vote for this conference report, it causd I believe we need to get this light lation finished and provide this nythics to our armed services.

However, I think some very problems have been created by this con ference deport, problems sufficiently seri ous that I would vote against it if it were not for the urgent need of ohr defense foray for the funds that have been included.

The first major deficiency in this

ference report is its treatment of the B-1 bomber program. The B-1 is vitally needed if we are to maintain the strate. gic balance. Today, the Soviet Union h its equivalent of the Bh. the Ba in squadron service. In addition Soviets have deployed 4 new im-ICBM's: they have deployed at lea of the Delta class strategic missile sumarines, which are the approximate equivalent of our Trident; they are developing new ahlfmissile systems for strategic defense /they have a massive civil defense program underway: and they have in development another; new family of ICBM's, To set against this massive program, we have only one new ICBM, the MX in very early development; we have our Trident program underway, although we will not have it in service until the 1980's and we have the B-1 program. The action of the conference delays and throws doubt upon the B-1, which seems to imply that the Trident and the MX alone may be sufficient to meet the massive Soviet strategic program. Yet clearly, these other two programs/ alone -would not be sufficient. Without the B-1, the stratesto balance will inexorably shift in favor of the Soviet Union

It is imperative that we go ahead with the B-1 bomber program on the plenned schedule. We need to put the B-1 production as soon as possible, and get if into squadron service as soon as possi